A.—The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT (Mr. J. Appaji Gowda).—

(*a*) and (*b*) Information is not available.

Alienated Properties of Members of the Banajara Community.

Q.—58. Mr. JATHARE NAIK Minorities.—

Will the Government be pleased to state the extent of lands and other immoveable property belonging to people of the Banajara community in the State that have been mortgaged for debt during the last twenty years and that have become alienated and the extent of lands and other immoveable property that belong to them and are about to become alienated (hobliwar details may kindly be furnished) ?

A.—The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Rajasevaprasaktha B.T. Kesavaiengar).—

This information is not available.

Mr. JATHARE NAIK.—Will it not be very helpful to members of the Banajara community if Government could please take the trouble of collecting the information ?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—If I may make a suggestion to the member, the Banajara Sangha can collect all the information much more easily than the Government. If they do this and then bring to notice their grievances, if any, Government could give them the necessary and pososible relief.

Advancement of Women's Education.

Q.—61. Srimathi R. KALYANAMMA (Women's Interest).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) what further special improvements have been proposed by the Department of Public Instruction regarding the advancement of women's education in the State ;

(*b*) whether steps have been taken by the department to amalgamate the primary girls' and boys' schools with a view to giving co-education to the younger generation, which is bound to result in the creation of wider facilities for the expansion of education of both boys and girls ?

A.—The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT (Mr. J. Appaji Gowda).—

(*a*) The question is not clear.

(*b*) This is being done wherever possible.

Srimathi R. KALYANAMMA.—Is it not desirable to revise the courses of studies so that girls might, as in some of the institutions, be specially equipped to earn a living instead of having to undergo the same course as boys and competing with them for appointments ?

Mr. J. APPAJI GOWDA.—No such revision is contemplated just at present.

Srimathi R. KALYANAMMA.—In that case, why should there be separate schools for boys and girls ? Would it not be cheaper to introduce co-education as in Bengal ?

Mr. J. APPAJI GOWDA.—Government will examine the question.

Philanthropic Members of the Nayanaja Kshatriya Community.

Q.—64. Mr. S. C. BELURIAH (Minorities).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) if they are aware of the names of those among the members of the Nayanaja Kshatriya community who have done public service, such as providing a building or granting land for the benefit of the community ;

(*b*) if so, the nature of the philanthropic work they have done and the amount spent by them in that connection ;

(*c*) whether the Government are aware of their work (particulars regarding their names, the village, taluk or district to which each of them belongs, the institutions with which they are connected and the nature of the encouragement given to them by Government may kindly be furnished) ?

A.—**The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Rajasevaprasaktha B. T. Kesavaiengar).**—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) and (*c*)—

1. Mr. S. C. Beluriah, Hole-Narsipur, Hassan District.	Constructed, by raising public subscription, a music school at Hole-Narsipur, where piping is taught. This school is getting a monthly grant of Rs. 3 from Government and of Rs. 5 from the District Board.
2. Mr. Subbarayappa of Subbaraya Nagenahalli, Dodballapur, Bangalore District.	Constructed a choultry at Ghati, by raising public subscription, for the benefit of the community. The land for the construction of this choultry was given free of cost, and the required stones free of royalty.
3. The late Mr. Munivenkatappa of Ulsoorpet, Bangalore District.	Constructed a choultry in Bangalore City at a cost of about Rs. 15,000 for the benefit of all communities.

Mr. S. C. BELURIAH.—How much of the money required for the school building at Hole-Narsipur was contributed by members of the said community?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—The information is not readily available.

Mr. S. C. BELURIAH.—May I know how much the building has cost?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Notice.

Mr. S. C. BELURIAH.—One philanthropic gentleman has contributed about Rs. 2,500 for the building. If that generous donation is not specially mentioned but only a general statement is made that the amount was raised by public subscription, would it not be a matter of disappointment to the gentleman who contributed a large amount?

Mr. PRESIDENT.—That is not a supplementary question.

Mr. S. C. BELURIAH.—Were the services of the late Mr Munivenkatappa who constructed the choultry at Bangalore at a heavy cost recognised by His Highness's Government in any way?

Mr. PRESIDENT.—That again is a personal matter and is not a question intended to elicit additional information.

Disposal of Dead Cattle in Municipalities.

Q.—67. **Mr. M. HANUMANTHAPPA (Minorities).**—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of years that have elapsed since the provisions regulating the disposal of the dead cattle within 24 hours in major and minor municipalities of the State were introduced;

(*b*) the number of villages in which the provisions referred to above have been brought into effect;

(*c*) the reason for giving effect to them only in certain villages and not doing so in the remaining ones; whether on account of the delay in introducing them in all villages, the health of the people who eat the carcasses of animals (that die of various diseases) is not affected;

(*d*) whether the concerned officials will be instructed to pay particular attention to this matter;

(*e*) the length of time within which the abovementioned provisions can be expected to be introduced completely in all parts of the State?

A.—**The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, LAW DEPARTMENT (Mr. H. V. Narayana Rao).**—

(*a*) Six years in the case of minor municipalities. The town municipal councils may frame bye-laws under Section 48 (1) (*g*) of the Town Municipalities Act, 1933, for the disposal of the carcasses of dead animals.